

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/920,044

Applicant(s)

SEMPLE ET AL.

Examiner

Hosain T Alam

Art Unit

2172

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hosain T Alam.

(3) _____.

(2) Curtis A. Vock, Reg. No. 38,356.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12/14/01.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 38-77.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The subject matter of claims 38-67(a first location, a second location, a port, a database that is not located at the second location but at a remote place) has been discussed in the October 17, 2001 interview with the applicant. The patentability of these claims will be determined. Claims 70-72, and 74-77 are canceled as being directed to a different aspect of the claimed invention. If claims 38-67 are found allowable, the remaining claims will be canceled by an examiner's amendment.